



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,904	07/17/2003	Larry G. Willemsen	KSR-11302/08	2130
25006	7590	10/04/2006	EXAMINER	
GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE & CITKOWSKI, P.C			LUONG, VINH	
PO BOX 7021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TROY, MI 48007-7021			3682	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/621,904	WILLEMSSEN ET AL.
	Examiner Vinh T. Luong	Art Unit 3682

All Participants:

(1) Vinh T. Luong.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(2) Beverly M. Bunting.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2006

Time: 11:15 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Vinh T. Luong

Primary Examiner



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Examiner informed the Applicant's Attorney that there was a printer rush with a message that the third inventor Peter Mishchenko had not signed the Declaration and there was no paper granting a petition under 37 CFR 1.47. See the Declarations filed on November 5, 2003 and July 17, 2003. Applicant's Attorney informed the Examiner that a Supplemental Declaration with the signature of the third inventor would be submitted.



Vinh T. Luong
Primary Examiner